Session 4: Regional Activity Mapping Exercise

Pacific Roadmap for Strengthened Climate Services (PRSCS)

19 October 2026



Same roadmap. Different altitude.

SESSION 3

National

Activities, responsibilities, baseline and support needs within countries.


SESSION 4

Regional

Coordination and support roles across agencies, programmes, projects and funding.

Map overlaps and gaps at the scale where regional support is organised.

Connecting Sessions 1–4



Understand the roadmap and remaining actions

Measure progress via 17 SPIs and M&E coordination

Map national implementation, organisations, baseline & needs

Map regional organisations, projects, programmes & funding

UNDERSTAND → MONITOR → NATIONAL ROLES → REGIONAL ROLES

Expected Outcomes from Session 4

1. An updated regional responsibility matrix
2. Clearer distinction between agency mandates and project support
3. An initial picture of regional funding coverage
4. A list of regional implementation gaps
5. Agreed follow-up requirements

Four focus areas

01 **Responsible regional organisations**

Agencies, technical bodies, programmes and projects

02 **Mandates ≠ projects**

Separate ongoing agency responsibilities from time-bound activities

03 **Funding status**

Funded, partial, unfunded or awaiting confirmation — with sources

04 **Gaps & overlaps**

Unclear leads, duplicate roles, mandate–project gaps, dependencies

Define the role before filling the cell

Lead

Primarily accountable for coordinating or driving the action

Co-Lead

Shares substantive responsibility for delivery

Supporting Partner

Provides expertise, resources, training or assistance

TIMEFRAME

Who owns the action?

1. Responsibility: Which organisation should lead this action, and why?
2. Mandate: Is this part of the organisation's ongoing regional mandate?
3. Project contribution: Which regional projects currently support this action, and what exactly do they deliver?
4. Funding: Is the action fully funded, partly funded or unfunded? What evidence supports that status?
5. Coordination: Are two or more organisations undertaking similar work, or is there a gap nobody is addressing?
6. Next steps: If the action is not fully covered, who needs to follow up, and what needs to be clarified?

Regional Mapping Exercise

1. Open & explain the mapping matrix
2. Identify the responsible organisations.
3. Distinguish mandates from project contributions.
4. Check the funding status.
5. Review gaps and validate the matrix.

Mandate or project contribution?

ONGOING MANDATE

What enduring agency responsibility supports this action?

PROJECT / PROGRAMME

What technical assistance, training or equipment is contributed?

Is the contribution temporary or long-term — and are the two sides aligned?

Separate the statuses

A simple sorting technique turns discussion into a realistic implementation picture.

HAPPENING NOW

Ongoing mandates and funded projects

PLANNED

Confirmed future activities

UNFUNDED / UNASSIGNED

Gaps and pending confirmation

Result: clear follow-up needs, without overstating progress.

What the completed matrix should capture

- PRSCS action + number
- Lead • Co-Lead • Supporting Partner
- Implementation status
- Gaps • overlaps • dependencies
- Regional agency mandate(s)
- Project / programme contributions
- Funding status + sources
- Follow-up action + responsible organisation

A credible matrix — not a perfect fiction

38 PRSCS actions updated regionally

- Mandates separated from project support
- Initial funding coverage visible
- Gaps and overlaps named
- Follow-up owners and contacts agreed

Partial but credible is better than complete but unchecked.

Thank you for your participation

Validate the matrix • follow up unresolved items • keep evidence current

Accurate mapping is critical to PRSCS success and regional coordination.

Questions & support: PRSCS coordination team